

Index Reconstitution: The Price of Tracking

June 2016

With the annual reconstitution of the Russell indices approaching, it is a good opportunity to revisit how index reconstitution events affect trading costs and returns.

Index funds are an innovative solution for investors that provide diversified investments at low fees. On any given day, an investor can observe the performance of indices from providers such as MSCI,¹ S&P,² or Russell³—and that means it's easy to monitor whether or not an index fund manager replicated the index's performance (gross of fees and expenses). However, an index fund manager's strict adherence to an index comes at a cost in the form of reduced discretion around trading.

Most indices revise their list of index constituents periodically (e.g., annually or quarterly), at which time securities may be added or deleted from the index. This process is commonly referred to as index reconstitution. For example, the annual reconstitution of the widely tracked Russell indices will occur on June 24, 2016. Russell index fund managers will need to buy additions and sell deletions for the indices they track in order to minimize tracking error⁴ relative to the index. Any deviation of the fund from the index, over days or even hours, could result in different returns from the index.


1. Morgan Stanley Capital International.

2. Standard & Poor's Index Services Group.


3. FTSE Russell is wholly owned by London Stock Exchange Group.

4. Tracking error is the standard deviation of the return differences between a fund and its benchmark.

Exhibit 1: Equal-Weighted Average Trade Volume for Index Additions and Deletions



S&P data provided by StanS&P data provided by Standard & Poor's Index Services Group. Russell data © Russell Investment Group 1995-2016, all rights reserved.



MSCI data © MSCI 2016, all rights reserved.

The effect on volume from index rebalance trades is apparent in a huge volume spike on trade reconstitution day. **Exhibit 1** illustrates average trade volume for additions and deletions in four major indices during the 80-day period surrounding reconstitution. Each of the charts shows a marked increase in trade volume on the effective date of reconstitution relative to the surrounding days. The effect is pervasive across the market capitalization spectrum as well as

geographic region.

For each index, this large liquidity demand tends to drive up the prices of securities with greater purchase demand (generally additions to the index) relative to the other securities in the index. It also tends to push down prices of securities with greater sell demand (generally deletions from the index) relative to the other securities in the index. Thus, for an index being tracked by a large

Exhibit 2: Effect of Delaying Reconstitution Month

	Rebalanced in June			Rebalanced in September			Difference Between Delayed and Actual		
	Russell 1000 Value Index	Russell 2000 Index	Russell 2000 Value Index	Delayed R1V	Delayed R2	Delayed R2V	R1V: Delayed - Actual	R2: Delayed - Actual	R2V: Delayed - Actual
Average Monthly Returns, January 1990–July 2015									
All Months	1.02%	0.99%	1.16%	1.06%	1.15%	1.35%	0.04%	0.15%	0.18%
October–June	1.43%	1.55%	1.70%	1.43%	1.55%	1.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
July–September	-0.17%	-0.65%	-0.42%	-0.02%	-0.05%	0.31%	0.15%	0.60%	0.73%

Russell data © Russell Investment Group 1995–2016, all rights reserved. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results. Indices are not available for direct investment; therefore, their performance does not reflect the expenses associated with the management of an actual portfolio.

amount of assets, the index has generally added securities at higher prices and deleted securities at lower prices than it would have if no assets had been tracking it. This phenomenon is the result of index managers' demanding liquidity on or around the index reconstitution date.

After the reconstitution of an index, as the liquidity demands of index managers decline, research shows this price effect tends to reverse. That is, additions tend to underperform the index while deletions tend to outperform. As a result, index managers' implicit trading costs can result in a performance drag on the index and, consequently, funds tracking the index.

A simple experiment in delaying reconstitution allows us to estimate how much this price pressure has impacted index performance.

Exhibit 2 compares average monthly returns for two sets of Russell indices; one set is rebalanced on the June-end reconstitution date and the other three months later. As shown in the final three columns, delaying rebalancing improved average returns between 0.15% and 0.73% per month from July through September—the three months between the rebalance date of the standard indices and their delayed counterparts. For all calendar months, including October through June when holdings are identical for both rebalancing methods, this amounts to a performance benefit ranging from 0.04% to 0.18% per month, or approximately 0.45% to 2.21% per year.

SUMMARY

Index funds may be a good option for investors seeking investments with low fees. However, in an attempt to match the returns of an index, an index fund manager sacrifices trading flexibility. Because of high liquidity demands around index reconstitution dates, index funds may incur high trading costs that do not appear in expense ratios but do affect net returns. The funds' goal of minimizing tracking error may come at the expense of returns. Investors should consider the total costs, both in terms of expense ratio and trading costs, when evaluating investment options.

Source: Dimensional Fund Advisors LP.

All expressions of opinion are subject to change. This information is intended for educational purposes, and it is not to be construed as an offer, solicitation, recommendation, or endorsement of any particular security, products, or services.

There is no guarantee an investing strategy will be successful.